

THE CONGRUENCE η^* ON SEMIGROUPS

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define a congruence η^* on semigroups. For the finite semigroups S , η^* is the smallest congruence relation such that S/η^* is a nilpotent semigroup (in the sense of Mal'cev). In order to study the congruence relation η^* on finite semigroups, we define a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup. We prove that, if S is a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup then S/η^* is inverse. Also, if S is a completely regular finite semigroup, then S/η^* is a Clifford semigroup.

We show that, for every non-null principal factor A/B of S , there is a special principal factor C/D such that every element of $A \setminus B$ is η^* -equivalent with some element of $C \setminus D$. We call the principal factor C/D , the η^* -root of A/B . All η^* -roots are **CS**-diagonal. If certain elements of S act in the special way on the **R**-classes of a **CS**-diagonal principal factor then it is not an η^* -root. Some of these results are also expressed in terms of pseudovarieties of semigroups.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The relation η^* on finite semigroups	3
3. An approach to η^* through principal series	11
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

Freni in [4], for a semigroup S , defined a congruence relation γ^* as follows: Let $\gamma_1 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in S\}$ and, for every integer $n > 1$, x is in the relation γ_n with y if and only if there exist elements $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in S and a permutation σ in symmetric group of order n such that $x = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i$ and $y = \prod_{i=1}^n z_{\sigma(i)}$. Let γ^* be the transitive closure of $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \gamma_n$. He proved that the congruence γ^* is the smallest congruence relation of S such that the quotient S/γ^* is a commutative semigroup.

Mal'cev [10] and independently Neumann and Taylor [11] have shown that nilpotent groups can be defined by using semigroup identities (that is,

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F19, 20M07, Secondary: 20F18.
Keywords and phrases: nilpotent semigroup, nilpotent group, principal series, finite semigroup, pseudovariety.

without using inverses). This leads to the notion of a nilpotent semigroup (in the sense of Mal'cev).

For a semigroup S with elements $x, y, z_1, z_2, \dots$ one recursively defines two sequences

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_n = \rho_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

by

$$\lambda_0 = x, \quad \rho_0 = y$$

and

$$\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n z_{n+1} \rho_n, \quad \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n z_{n+1} \lambda_n.$$

A semigroup is said to be *nilpotent* (in the sense of Mal'cev [10]) if there exists a positive integer n such that

$$\lambda_n(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_n) = \rho_n(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

for all a, b in S and $c_1, \dots, c_n$ in S^1 . The smallest such n is called the nilpotency class of S . Clearly, null semigroups are nilpotent in the sense of Mal'cev. Recall ([11]) that a semigroup S is said to be *Neumann-Taylor* (NT) if, for some $n \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, 1, c_2, \dots, c_n) = \rho_n(a, b, 1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$$

for all $a, b \in S$ and $c_2, \dots, c_n$ in S^1 . A semigroup S is said to be *positively Engel* (PE) if, for some $n \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, 1, 1, c, c^2, \dots, c^{n-2}) = \rho_n(a, b, 1, 1, c, c^2, \dots, c^{n-2})$$

for all a, b in S and $c \in S^1$. Recall that a pseudovariety of semigroups is a class of finite semigroups closed under taking subsemigroups, homomorphic images and finite direct products. It is easy to verify that the finite nilpotent semigroups, finite Neumann-Taylor semigroups and finite positively Engel semigroups, separately constitute pseudovarieties. We denoted them respectively by **MN**, **NT** and **PE**.

In this paper, a congruence η^* is defined that for a finite semigroup S , η^* is the smallest congruence relation of S such that S/η^* is a nilpotent semigroup. In order to study the congruence relation η^* on finite semigroups, we define a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup and the quotient group G_{η^*} of G/η^* for the finite regular Rees matrix semigroup where G is the maximal subgroup of it. We prove that, if S is a **CS**-diagonal finite regular Rees matrix semigroup with the maximal subgroup G then S/η^* is an inverse Rees matrix semigroup with maximal subgroup G_{η^*} . Also if S is a finite completely simple semigroup, then $S/\eta^* \cong G_{\eta^*}$. Moreover, if S is a completely regular finite semigroup, then S/η^* is a Clifford semigroup.

In the section 3, we investigate the congruence relation η^* on finite semigroups through their principal series. We define the η^* -root, for every non-null principal factor of a finite semigroup S . Let S_p/S_{p+1} be a principal factor of S . If there exists an element in $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ such that there is an

η^* -relation between it and θ , the η^* -root of S_p/S_{p+1} is θ . Otherwise its η^* -root is the **CS**-diagonal principal factor $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ of S such that there is an η^* -relation between at least two elements of them and there is no η^* -relation between any elements of the η^* -root and $S_{p'+1}$. We prove that if θ is the η^* -root of S_p/S_{p+1} , then the subset $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ is in the class of θ of η^* . All η^* -roots are **CS**-diagonal. If certain elements of S act in the special way on the **R**-classes of a **CS**-diagonal principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} , then S_p/S_{p+1} is not an η^* -root. Although it remains as open problem the conditions of acting of elements of $S \setminus S_{p+1}$ on the **R**-classes of S_p/S_{p+1} when it is not its η^* -root. In the special case, when S is semisimple, the classes of S/η^* are $\{\theta\}$ (if S has θ) and the η^* -classes of η^* -root principal factors of S .

Finally we compare the pseudovariety **MN** with the pseudovarieties **BG**_{nil} and **BI** and got that **MN** $\subset$ **BG**_{nil} but **MN** $\not\subset$ **BI** and **BI** $\not\subset$ **MN**.

For standard notations and terminology of finite semigroups, refers to [3]. A completely 0-simple finite semigroup S is isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$, where G is a maximal subgroup of S , P is the $m \times n$ sandwich matrix with entries in G^θ and n and m are positive integers. The nonzero elements of S is denoted by $(g; i, j)$, where $g \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$; the zero element is simply denoted θ . The element of P on the (j, i) -position is denoted by p_{ji} . The set of non zero elements is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$. If all elements of P are non zero then this is a semigroup and every completely simple finite semigroup is of this form. If $P = I_n$, the identity matrix, then S is an inverse semigroup. Jespers and Okninski prove that a completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ is nilpotent if and only if $n = m$, $P = I_n$ and G is a nilpotent group [[6], Lemma 2.1].

Let $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, A, B; P)$ be a Rees matrix semigroup. We assume A and B are disjoint. The incidence graph of S , denoted $\Gamma(S)$, has vertex set $V = A \cup B$. The edge set is given by

$$E = \{(a, b), (b, a) \in (A \times B) \cup (B \times A) \mid p_{b,a} \neq \theta\}.$$

2. THE RELATION η^* ON FINITE SEMIGROUPS

The following lemma gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite semigroup not to be nilpotent [8].

Lemma 2.1. *A finite semigroup S is not nilpotent if and only if there exists a positive integer m , distinct elements $x, y \in S$ and elements $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in S^1$ such that $x = \lambda_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$, $y = \rho_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$.*

This lemma motivates the definition of a congruence relation η^* on finite semigroups which is refinement of γ^* .

Let S be a semigroup. Let $\eta_1 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in S\}$ and, for every integer $n > 1$, x has a relation η_n with y if and only if there exist elements $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in S^1 such that $x = \lambda_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $y = \rho_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Let η

be the transitive closure of $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} \eta_i$ and η^* the smallest congruence relation including η .

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be a finite semigroup. The relation η^* is the smallest congruence relation of S such that S/η^* is a nilpotent semigroup.*

Proof. First we prove that S/η^* is nilpotent.

Suppose the contrary. Since S/η^* is finite, by Lemma 2.1, there exist distinct classes $\eta^*(x), \eta^*(y) \in S/\eta^*$ and elements $\eta^*(w_1), \eta^*(w_2), \dots, \eta^*(w_m) \in (S/\eta^*)^1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^*(x) &= \lambda_m(\eta^*(x), \eta^*(y), \eta^*(w_1), \eta^*(w_2), \dots, \eta^*(w_m)), \\ \eta^*(y) &= \rho_m(\eta^*(x), \eta^*(y), \eta^*(w_1), \eta^*(w_2), \dots, \eta^*(w_m)).\end{aligned}$$

Then for every elements $x' \in \eta^*(x), y' \in \eta^*(y)$, there exists element $x'' \in \eta^*(x), y'' \in \eta^*(y)$, such that

$$x'' = \lambda_m(x', y', w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \text{ and } y'' = \rho_m(x', y', w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m).$$

Since $|S \times S|$ is finite, there exist elements $\alpha \in \eta^*(x), \beta \in \eta^*(y)$, an integer $k = k'm$ and elements $z_1, \dots, z_k \in S^1$ such that

$$\alpha = \lambda_k(\alpha, \beta, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \text{ and } \beta = \rho_k(\alpha, \beta, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k).$$

Then $\eta^*(\alpha) = \eta^*(\beta)$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that ρ is a congruence relation on S such that $R = S/\rho$ is nilpotent and $x\eta_n y$ for some $x, y \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus there exist elements $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in S^1 such that

$$x = \lambda_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n) \text{ and } y = \rho_n(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

If $\rho(x) \neq \rho(y)$, then Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\langle \rho(x), \rho(y), \rho(z_1), \dots, \rho(z_n) \rangle$$

is a non-nilpotent subsemigroup of R and thus R is not nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore $x\rho y$ and thus $\eta^* \subseteq \rho$. $\square$

Neumann and Taylor prove that a group G is nilpotent if and only if it is nilpotent in the sense of Mal'cev [[11], Corollary 1].

Remark. Aghabozorgi, Davvaz and Jafarpour in [1] introduce the smallest equivalence relation ν^* on a hypergroup H . We mention this definition limited to the case of semigroups.

Let $L_0(H) = H$ and

$$L_{k+1}(H) = \{h \in H \mid xy = hyx \text{ such that } x \in L_k(H) \text{ and } y \in H\},$$

for all $k \geq 0$. Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu_n = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \nu_{m,n}$, where $\nu_{1,n}$ is the diagonal relation and for every integer $m \geq 1$, $\nu_{m,n}$ is the relation defined as follows:

$$x\nu_{m,n}y \Leftrightarrow \exists(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in H^m, \exists \sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m : \sigma(i) = i \text{ if } z_i \notin L_n(H)$$

$$\text{such that } x = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i \text{ and } y = \prod_{i=1}^m z_{\sigma(i)}.$$

Let ν_n^* be the transitive closure of ν_n and the relation ν^* as follows:

$$\nu^* = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \nu_n^*.$$

They prove that the relation ν^* is the smallest congruence relation on a finite group H such that H/ν^* is a nilpotent group. Thus Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 1 of [11], follow that $\nu^* = \eta^*$ for the case of finite groups.

In general, the relations ν^* and η^* are not equal. For example, let M be a regular Rees matrix semigroup $M = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2)$. Based of Lemma 2.1 of [6], M is nilpotent. So $M/\eta^* = M$ (Lemma 2.2).

Since $(1; 1, 1), (1; 2, 2) \in L_i(M)$ for all $1 \leq i$,


$$(1; 1, 1) = (1; 1, 2)(1; 2, 2)(1; 2, 1)(1; 1, 1) \text{ and}$$

$$\theta = (1; 1, 2)(1; 1, 1)(1; 2, 1)(1; 2, 2),$$

we have $(1; 1, 1)\nu^*\theta$. Then $M/\nu^* \neq M$ and thus $M/\eta^* \neq M/\nu^*$.

Let $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ be a finite regular Rees matrix semigroup with the sandwich matrix P . We call M is **CS**-diagonal, if $p_{r,t}, p_{r',t}$ and $p_{r,t'}$ are non zero then $p_{r',t'}$ is non zero for all $1 \leq r, r' \leq m$ and $1 \leq t, t' \leq n$.

The above assumption let us to define the following equivalence relation on the sets $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The integers $1 \leq i_\alpha, i_\beta \leq n$ are in the same class if and only if there exists an integer $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that p_{j,i_α} and p_{j,i_β} are non zero. Suppose that the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ partition to t classes $\mathbb{I}_1, \dots, \mathbb{I}_t$. We define the set $\mathbb{I}'_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq t$. If $p_{j,i} \neq \theta$ for $i \in \mathbb{I}_l$, then $j \in \mathbb{I}'_l$. It is easy to verify that the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ partitions to the t classes $\mathbb{I}'_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq t$. Also it is easy to verify that if $i \in \mathbb{I}_l$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}'_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq t$ then $p_{j,i} \neq \theta$ and if $i \in \mathbb{I}_g$, $j \in \mathbb{I}'_h$ and $g \neq h$ then $p_{j,i} = \theta$, for every $1 \leq g, h \leq t$. We show the number of classes of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, by n_{η^*} .



Suppose that S is a semigroup. The subsemigroup $\langle E(S) \rangle$ denotes the subsemigroup generated by $E(S)$, the set of idempotents of S . For a pseudovariety $\mathbf{V}$, recall from [2] the pseudovariety

$$\mathbf{EV} = \{S \in \mathbf{S} \mid \langle E(S) \rangle \in \mathbf{V}\}.$$

The pseudovariety **DS** can be specified, $S \notin \mathbf{DS}$ if and only if there exist idempotents $e, f \in S$ in the same **J**-class such that ef and fe are not both in that **J**-class ([2, Exercise 8.1.6]). Then

$$\mathbf{EDS} = \{S \in \mathbf{S} \mid \langle E(S) \rangle \in \mathbf{DS}\}.$$

It is well known that (for example [12, Exercise 4.13.38]), a semigroup S belongs to **EDS** if and only if, for each regular **J**-class J , the connected components of the incidence graph $\Gamma(J^0)$ are complete bipartite graphs. Suppose that the finite regular Rees matrix semigroup $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ is **CS**-diagonal. Since the connected component $\mathbb{I}_i \cup \mathbb{I}'_i$ is a complete bipartite graph for every $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$, $M \in \mathbf{EDS}$.

Let G_{η^*} be the smallest quotient group of G/η^* such that for every integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$

$$p_{x,c'}^{-1} p_{x,c} = p_{\beta,c'}^{-1} p_{\beta,c} \text{ and } p_{d,y} p_{d',y}^{-1} = p_{d,\alpha} p_{d',\alpha}^{-1}$$

for every integers $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $\beta, x \in \mathbb{I}'_a$, $\alpha, y \in \mathbb{I}_b$ and $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$. We denote its quotient map by $\phi_{\eta^*} : G/\eta^* \rightarrow G_{\eta^*}$, the equivalence relation class of $p_{\beta,c'}^{-1} p_{\beta,c}$ by $\beta_{c',c}$ and the equivalence relation class of $p_{d,\alpha} p_{d',\alpha}^{-1}$ by $\alpha_{d',d}$ in G_{η^*} .

It is easy to verify that for every $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$

$$\beta_{c',c'} = \beta_{c',c} \beta_{c,c'} = 1, \alpha_{d,d} = \alpha_{d',d} \alpha_{d,d'} = 1,$$

$$\beta_{c'',c'} \beta_{c',c} = \beta_{c'',c} \text{ and } \alpha_{d',d} \alpha_{d'',d'} = \alpha_{d'',d}$$

for every integers $c, c', c'' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, and $d, d', d'' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ be a finite regular Rees matrix semigroup with the sandwich matrix P . If M is not **CS**-diagonal, then $M/\eta^* = \{\theta\}$. Otherwise $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$.*

Proof. First suppose that M is nilpotent. Then $n = m$ and

$$M \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n),$$

where I_n denotes the identity n -by- n matrix [[6], Lemma 2.1]. Since M is nilpotent then Lemma 2.2 follows that $M = M/\eta^*$ and thus $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n)$.

Suppose that M is not nilpotent. If $p_{j,i}$ and $p_{j',i}$ are non zero and $j \neq j'$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j, j' \leq m$, then

$$(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) = \lambda_2((p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1),$$

$$(p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j') = \rho_2((p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1)$$

and thus $(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) \eta_2 (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')$. Let $1 \leq i' \leq n$ such that $p_{j',i'} = \theta$ and $p_{j,i'} \neq \theta$. Since the relation η^* is congruence, by $(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) \eta_2 (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')$,

$$(p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) (p_{j,i'}^{-1} p_{j,i}; i', j) \eta_2 (p_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j') (p_{j',i'}^{-1} p_{j',i}; i', j)$$

and thus $(1; i, j) \eta^* \theta$. Hence for every $1 \leq a \leq n$, $1 \leq b \leq m$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$(g; a, b) = (g p_{j',i}^{-1}; a, j') (1; i, j) (p_{j,i}^{-1}; i, b) \eta^* \theta.$$

Therefore $M/\eta^* = \{\theta\}$.

Now suppose that M is **CS**-diagonal. We define the relation κ on M as follows:

$$\theta \kappa \theta$$

and for every integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$, integers $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ and $g \in G$,

$$(g; c, d) \kappa (\beta_{c',c} g' \alpha_{d',d}; c', d') \text{ if and only if } \phi_{\eta^*}(g) = \phi_{\eta^*}(g').$$

We claim that the relation κ is congruence.

Suppose that $(g; c, d)$ is a non zero element of M . Then there exist integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ such that $c \in \mathbb{I}_a$ and $d \in \mathbb{I}'_b$. Since $\beta_{c,c} = \alpha_{d,d} = 1$, $(g; c, d) \kappa (\beta_{c,c} g \alpha_{d,d}; c, d)$. Then $(g; c, d) \kappa (g; c, d)$ and thus κ is reflexive.

Now suppose that $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$. Then there exist integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ such that $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}.$$

Then

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g) = \beta_{c',c}^{-1} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d',d}^{-1} = \beta_{c,c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d,d'}$$

and thus $(g'; c', d') \kappa (g; c, d)$. Therefore the relation κ is symmetric.

If $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$ and $(g'; c', d') \kappa (g''; c'', d'')$ then there exist integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$, such that $c, c', c'' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d, d', d'' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$,

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}$$

and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g'') = \beta_{c'',c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d'',d'}.$$

Then

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g'') = \beta_{c'',c'} \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d} \alpha_{d'',d'} = \beta_{c'',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d'',d}$$

and thus $(g; c, d) \kappa (g''; c'', d'')$. Therefore the relation κ is transitive.

Then the relation κ is an equivalence relation. Now we investigate that κ is congruence. Suppose that $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$ and $(g''; c'', d'') \in M$. Then there exist integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ such that $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}.$$

If $d'' \notin \mathbb{I}'_a$ then $(g''; c'', d'')(g; c, d) = (g''; c'', d'')(g'; c', d') = \theta$. Otherwise $d'' \in \mathbb{I}'_a$. Since $\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c',c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}$,

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{d'',c'}^{-1}) \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{d'',c}) \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d',d}$$

and thus

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g'' p_{d'',c'} g') = \phi_{\eta^*}(g'' p_{d'',c} g) \alpha_{d',d}.$$

Then

$$(g'' p_{d'',c} g; c'', d) \kappa (g'' p_{d'',c'} g'; c'', d').$$

Hence

$$(g''; c'', d'')(g; c, d) \kappa (g''; c'', d'')(g'; c', d').$$

Similarly

$$(g; c, d)(g''; c'', d'') \kappa (g'; c', d')(g''; c'', d'').$$

Therefore the relation κ is congruence.

Let i_{η^*} and i'_{η^*} are the smallest integers of $\mathbb{I}_i$ are $\mathbb{I}'_i$, respectively for every $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$. We claim that $M/\kappa \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; P')$ with $P' = [p'_{i,j}]$ is a $n_{\eta^*} \times n_{\eta^*}$ matrix such that if $i \neq j$, then $p'_{i,j} = \theta$ otherwise $p'_{i,j} = p_{i'_{\eta^*}, i_{\eta^*}}$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n_{\eta^*}$. We define the homomorphism $\phi : M/\kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; P')$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\theta) &= \theta, \\ \phi((g; c, d)) &= (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) \end{aligned}$$

such that $c \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ for every $(g; c, d) \in M/\kappa$.

First we prove that ϕ is well-defined. Suppose that $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$. Then there exist integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ such that $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ and

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g') = \beta_{c', c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d', d}.$$

Then

$$\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'} = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \beta_{c', c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{d', d} \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'},$$

and thus

$$\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'} = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}.$$

Therefore $\phi((g; c, d)) = \phi((g'; c', d'))$. Then ϕ is well-defined.

Now suppose that $g, g' \in G$, $c \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d \in \mathbb{I}'_e$, $c' \in \mathbb{I}_e$ and $d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((g; c, d)(g'; c', d')) &= \phi((gp_{d, c'} g'; c, d')) = \\ &= (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(gp_{d, c'} g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{e'_{\eta^*}, d} \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}}) \beta_{e_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') &= \\ \phi_{\eta^*}(gp_{d, e_{\eta^*}} p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}}^{-1} p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}} p_{d, e_{\eta^*}}^{-1} p_{d, c'} g') &= \end{aligned}$$

the last statement is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &(\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{e'_{\eta^*}, d} \phi_{\eta^*}(p_{e'_{\eta^*}, e_{\eta^*}}) \beta_{e_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) = \\ &(\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{e'_{\eta^*}, d}; a_{\eta^*}, e'_{\eta^*}) (\beta_{e_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; e_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) = \\ &\phi((g; c, d)) \phi((g'; c', d')). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ϕ is a homomorphism.

If $\phi((g; c, d)) = \phi((g'; c', d'))$, then there exist $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$ such that $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$, $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ and

$$(\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}) = (\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}; a_{\eta^*}, b'_{\eta^*}).$$

Hence

$$\beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c} \phi_{\eta^*}(g) \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d} = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'}$$

and thus

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(g) = \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c}^{-1} \beta_{a_{\eta^*}, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d'} \alpha_{b'_{\eta^*}, d}^{-1} = \beta_{c, c'} \phi_{\eta^*}(g') \alpha_{d, d'}.$$

Then $(g; c, d) \kappa (g'; c', d')$ and thus ϕ is one to one.

Obviously ϕ is onto. Therefore ϕ is isomorphism.

Therefore $M/\kappa \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; P')$ and it is easy to verify that $M/\kappa \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$.

Since the group G/η^* is nilpotent, the quotient group G_{η^*} is nilpotent and by [[6], Lemma 2.1], M/κ is nilpotent and thus Lemma 2.2 follows that $\eta^* \subseteq \kappa$.

Suppose that $c, c' \in \mathbb{I}_a$ and $d, d' \in \mathbb{I}'_b$ for integers $1 \leq a, b \leq n_{\eta^*}$. Let integers $e, e' \in \mathbb{I}'_a$ and $f, f' \in \mathbb{I}_b$. Thus

$$(p_{d,f}^{-1}; f, d)\eta_2(p_{d',f}^{-1}; f, d') \text{ and } (p_{e',c}^{-1}; c, e')\eta_2(p_{e',c'}^{-1}; c', e').$$

Since the relation η^* is congruence,

$$(g; c, d)(p_{d,f}^{-1}; f, d)\eta^*(g; c, d)(p_{d',f}^{-1}; f, d') \text{ and } (p_{e',c}^{-1}; c, e')(gp_{d,f}p_{d',f}^{-1}; c, d')\eta^*(p_{e',c'}^{-1}; c', e')(gp_{d,f}p_{d',f}^{-1}; c, d')$$

and thus

$$(g; c, d)\eta^*(gp_{d,f}p_{d',f}^{-1}; c, d') \text{ and } (gp_{d,f}p_{d',f}^{-1}; c, d')\eta^*(p_{e',c'}^{-1}p_{e',c}gp_{d,f}p_{d',f}^{-1}; c', d')$$

for every $g \in G$. However, as η^* is transitive,

$$(g; c, d)\eta^*(p_{e',c'}^{-1}p_{e',c}gp_{d,f}p_{d',f}^{-1}; c', d')$$

for every $g \in G$.

Now suppose that $(h; r, t)\kappa(h'; r', t')$. Then there exist integers $1 \leq o, p \leq n_{\eta^*}$ such that $r, r' \in \mathbb{I}_o$, $t, t' \in \mathbb{I}'_p$ and $\phi_{\eta^*}(h') = \beta_{r',r}\phi_{\eta^*}(h)\alpha_{t',t}$. Then there exist integers $w \in \mathbb{I}_p$, $v \in \mathbb{I}'_o$ such that $h'\eta^*p_{v,r'}^{-1}p_{v,r}hp_{t,w}p_{t',w}^{-1}$ and hence

$$(h'; r', t')\eta^*(p_{v,t'}^{-1}p_{v,t}hp_{t,w}p_{t',w}^{-1}; r', t').$$

Now, by above $(h; r, t)\eta^*(p_{v,t'}^{-1}p_{v,t}hp_{t,w}p_{t',w}^{-1}; r', t')$ and thus

$$(h; r, t)\eta^*(h'; r', t').$$

Hence $\kappa \subseteq \eta^*$.

Therefore $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$ and the result follows. $\square$

The following result can be seen as an immediate corollary of Proposition 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $M = \mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$ be a finite completely simple semigroup with the sandwich matrix P . Then $M/\eta^* \cong G_{\eta^*}$.*

Now we recall some definitions (see for example [3] and [5]).

Suppose S is a semigroup such that $S = \bigcup \{S_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$, a disjoint union of subsemigroups S_α , and such that for every pair of elements $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ we have $S_\alpha S_\beta \subseteq S_\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Omega$. One then has a product in Ω defined by $\alpha\beta = \gamma$ if $S_\alpha S_\beta \subseteq S_\gamma$ and one says that S is the union of the band Ω of semigroups S_α , with $\alpha \in \Omega$. If Ω is commutative, then one obtains a partial order relation $\leq$ on Ω with $\beta \leq \alpha$ if $\alpha\beta = \beta$. In this case Ω is a semilattice and one says that S is the semilattice Ω of semigroups S_α .

A semigroup S is a completely regular semigroup in which every element is in some subgroup of the semigroup. Therefore every H -class in S is a group.

One important class of completely regular semigroups, namely the class of completely simple semigroups. Completely 0-simple semigroups are not in general completely regular, since not every H -class in such a semigroup is a group. If S is completely simple then S is completely regular and simple. Now suppose that S is a completely regular semigroup. The green relation J is a congruence and we denote the semilattice S/J by Y . For each $a \in Y$, S_a is a J -class of S and is a completely simple subsemigroup. Thus S is the disjoint union of the completely simple semigroups and the congruence property of J gives us that $S_a S_b \subseteq S_{ab}$. We say that S is a semilattice of completely simple semigroups. A Clifford semigroup is a semigroup that is both an inverse semigroup and a completely regular semigroup.

The following lemma is the preliminary result toward the identification of the η^* -quotient of completely regular semigroups.

Lemma 2.5. *Let S be a semilattice of completely simple semigroups $M_i = M(G_i, n_i, m_i; P_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there exist the groups G'_i such that G'_i is a quotient group of the group $G_{i_{\eta^*}}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and S/η^* is the semilattice of them.*

Proof. Suppose that $a\eta^*b$. Then there exist elements $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in S$ such that $a = a_1 \dots a_k$, $b = b_1 \dots b_k$ and $a_q \eta b_q$, for $1 \leq q \leq k$. As $a_q \eta b_q$, there exist integers $n_q, q_1, \dots, q_{n_q}$ and elements $c_{q,0}, c_{q,1}, \dots, c_{q,n_q}$ in S such that

$$a_q = c_{q,0} \eta_{q_1} c_{q,1}, \quad c_{q,1} \eta_{q_2} c_{q,2}, \quad \dots, \quad c_{q,n_q-1} \eta_{q_{n_q}} c_{q,n_q} = b_q$$

for $1 \leq q \leq k$. Since $c_{q,p-1} \eta_{q_p} c_{q,p}$, there exist elements $d_{q,p,1}, \dots, d_{q,p,q_p}$ in S^1 such that

$$c_{q,p-1} = \lambda_{q_p}(c_{q,p-1}, c_{q,p}, d_{q,p,1}, \dots, d_{q,p,q_p}) \text{ and} \\ c_{q,p} = \rho_{q_p}(c_{q,p-1}, c_{q,p}, d_{q,p,1}, \dots, d_{q,p,q_p}),$$

for every $1 \leq q \leq k$ and $1 \leq p \leq n_q$.

Suppose that $x \in M_i$, $y \in M_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $x\eta_m y$, for some $x, y \in S$. Then there exists a positive integer m and elements $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in S^1$ such that $x = \lambda_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$, $y = \rho_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$. Since S is semilattice of M_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{m'} \leq n$ such that $m' \leq m$ and $i = i_j i_1 \dots i_{m'}$ and $j = j j_1 \dots j_{m'}$. Then $i = j$.

Now by above, there exists an integer $1 \leq i_q \leq n$ such that $a_q, b_q \in M_{i_q}$ for every $1 \leq q \leq k$. Again as S is semilattice of M_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an integer $1 \leq g \leq n$ such that $a, b \in M_g$.

As every M_i is a completely simple semigroup, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, by Corollary 2.4, $M_i/\eta^* = G_{i_{\eta^*}}$.

Finally as above results, there exist the groups G'_i such that G'_i is a quotient group of the group $G_{i_{\eta^*}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $S/\eta^* = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} G'_i$. $\square$

In [8], Jespers and the author investigated the upper non-nilpotent graph $\mathcal{N}_S$ of a finite semigroup S . Recall that the vertices of $\mathcal{N}_S$ are the elements of S and there is an edge between x and y if the subsemigroup generated by x and y , denoted by $\langle x, y \rangle$, is not nilpotent. Now, let S_i , with $1 \leq i \leq$

n , denote the connected $\mathcal{N}_S$ -components. If $|S_i| > 1$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, and if each connected $\mathcal{N}_S$ -component is complete then each connected $\mathcal{N}_S$ -component is a completely simple semigroup with the trivial maximal group and the semigroup S is a semilattice $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ of its $\mathcal{N}_S$ -components ([8, Theorem 3.7]). In the view of Lemma 2.5, it follows that $S/\eta^* = \Omega$.

The following theorem can be seen as an immediate result of the Lemma 2.5.

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be a completely regular finite semigroup. Then S/η^* is a Clifford semigroup.*

3. AN APPROACH TO η^* THROUGH PRINCIPAL SERIES

Every finite semigroup admits at least one principal series. In this section we suppose that S is a finite semigroup with the principal series:

$$S = S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots \supset S_o \supset S_{o+1} = \emptyset.$$

That is, each S_p is an ideal of S and there is no ideal of S strictly between S_p and S_{p+1} (for convenience we call the empty set an ideal of S). Each principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} ($1 \leq p \leq o$) of S is either completely 0-simple, completely simple or null. Every completely 0-simple factor semigroup is isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup over a finite group G .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that S_p/S_{p+1} is a non-null principal factor of S and has an element a such that $a\eta^* \theta$. Then there exists an integers $p \leq p' \leq o$ such that the principal factor $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *For every $x \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ there exists an element $y \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ such that $x\eta^*y$.*
- (2) *For every $z \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1} \cup S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ and $z' \in S_{p'+1}$, $z\eta^*z'$.*
- (3) *The principal factor $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is a **CS**-diagonal regular Rees matrix semigroup.*
- (4) *If $(g; \alpha, \beta), (g'; \gamma, \lambda) \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ such that $p_{\beta, \gamma} \neq \theta$ and $(h; i, j), (h'; k, l) \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$ such that $(g; \alpha, \beta)\eta^*(h; i, j)$ and $(g'; \gamma, \lambda)\eta^*(h'; k, l)$ then $k \in \mathbb{I}_r$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}'_r$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n'_{\eta^*}$.*

Proof. Since S_p/S_{p+1} is not null, S_p/S_{p+1} is completely simple or completely 0-simple. If S_p/S_{p+1} is completely simple, then trivially the results is easy to verify. Then we suppose that $S_p/S_{p+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ is a completely 0-simple and $x \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$. We denote $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ by M .

Let p' be the biggest integer that there exists an element $b \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ such that $a\eta^*b$.

Since $a, x \in M$, there exist integers $1 \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq n, 1 \leq \beta, \lambda \leq m$ and elements $g, h \in G$ such that $a = (g; \alpha, \beta)$ and $x = (h; \gamma, \lambda)$. Also since M is regular, for every $1 \leq l \leq m$ and $1 \leq r \leq n$ there exist integers $1 \leq l' \leq n$ and $1 \leq r' \leq m$ such that $p_{l, l'}, p_{r', r} \neq \theta$.

Let $A = (hg^{-1}p_{\alpha', \alpha}^{-1}; \gamma, \alpha')$, $A' = (gh^{-1}p_{\gamma', \gamma}^{-1}; \alpha, \gamma')$, $B = (p_{\beta, \beta'}^{-1}; \beta', \lambda)$ and $B' = (p_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{-1}; \lambda', \beta)$. Since $S_{p'}$ is an ideal, $AbB \in S_{p'}$. If $AbB \in S_{p'+1}$, then

$A'AbBB' \in S_{p'+1}$. Since $a = A'AaBB'$, $a\eta^*A'AbBB'$, a contradiction with the assumption. Therefore $AbB \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$. Since $(h; \gamma, \lambda) = AaB$, $x\eta^*AbB$ and as x is taken as an arbitrary element of $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$, for every element of $c \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ there exists an element of $d \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ such that $c\eta^*d$. In a similar way as above p' is the biggest integer that there exists an element $e \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ such that $c\eta^*e$.

Suppose that $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is null. Let $(1; \alpha, \alpha') \in M$. By above, there exists an element $v \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ such that $(1; \alpha, \alpha')\eta^*v$. Since $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is null, $vv \in S_{p'+1}$ and thus $(1; \alpha, \alpha')(1; \alpha, \alpha')$ in the η^* -class of an element of $S_{p'+1}$, a contradiction. Therefore $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is not null.

If there exist elements $z \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ and $z' \in S_{p'+1}$ such that $z\eta^*z'$, then, in a similar way as above, a in the η^* -class of an element of $S_{p'+1}$, a contradiction with the assumption. Then for every $z \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ and $z' \in S_{p'+1}$, $z\eta^*z'$.

Now suppose that $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is completely 0-simple. Then there exists a completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$ such that $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is isomorphic with it. We denote $\mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$ by M' .

If $q_{j,i}$ and $q_{j',i}$ are non zero and $j \neq j'$ for $1 \leq i \leq n'$ and $1 \leq j, j' \leq m'$, then

$$(q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j) = \lambda_2((q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1),$$

$$(q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j') = \rho_2((q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j), (q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j'), 1, 1)$$

and thus $(q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j)\eta_2(q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')$. Suppose that there exists $1 \leq i' \leq n'$ such that $q_{j,i'} = \theta$ and $q_{j',i'} \neq \theta$. Since the relation η^* is congruence,

$$(q_{j,i}^{-1}; i, j)(q_{j,i'}^{-1}q_{j,i}; i', j)\eta_2(q_{j',i}^{-1}; i, j')(q_{j,i'}^{-1}q_{j,i}; i', j)$$

and thus $(1; i, j)\eta^*\theta$. Therefore $(1; i, j)$ is in the η^* -class an element of $S_{p'+1}$, a contradiction. Therefore M' is **CS**-diagonal.

Suppose that $(g; \alpha, \beta), (g'; \gamma, \lambda) \in M$ such that $p_{\beta, \gamma} \neq \theta$ and elements $(h; i, j), (h'; k, l) \in M'$ such that $(g; \alpha, \beta)\eta^*(h; i, j)$ and $(g'; \gamma, \lambda)\eta^*(h'; k, l)$. Since $(g; \alpha, \beta)(g'; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$, we get that $(h; i, j)(h'; k, l) \neq \theta$ and thus $k \in \mathbb{I}_r$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}'_r$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n'_{\eta^*}$.

If $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is completely simple, then $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$, trivially is **CS**-diagonal and the last result is easy to verify. $\square$

The following result can be seen as an immediate corollary of Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that S_p/S_{p+1} is a non-null principal factor of S . The following properties hold.*

- (1) *If the principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} is not **CS**-diagonal, then the subset $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ is in the class of θ of η^* or in the η^* -class of some principal factor S_q/S_{q+1} such that $q > p$.*
- (2) *If there exists an element $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ such that $a\eta^*\theta$, then the subset $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ is in the class of θ of η^* .*
- (3) *If every principal factor S_q/S_{q+1} of S such that $q \geq p$ is null or is not **CS**-diagonal, then the subset $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ is in the class of θ of η^* .*

For every principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} of a finite semigroup S that it is not null, we say a principal factor $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ is the η^* -root of S_p/S_{p+1} if it satisfies the properties of Lemma 3.1. If there exists an element $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ such that $a\eta^*\theta$, then we say that θ is the η^* -root of S_p/S_{p+1} . If θ is the η^* -root of S_p/S_{p+1} , by Corollary 3.2, the subset $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ is in the class of θ of η^* .

Suppose that S_p/S_{p+1} isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ and $S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$. We define two functions

$$\phi_{\eta^*} : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{I}_1, \dots, \mathbb{I}_{n'_{\eta^*}}\}$$

and

$$\phi'_{\eta^*} : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{I}'_1, \dots, \mathbb{I}'_{n'_{\eta^*}}\}$$

such that if $(g; \alpha, \beta)\eta^*(h; i, j)$ for $(g; \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ and $(h; i, j) \in \mathcal{M}^0(H, n', m'; Q)$, then

$$\phi_{\eta^*}(\alpha) = \mathbb{I}_r \text{ and } \phi'_{\eta^*}(\beta) = \mathbb{I}'_s$$

if $i \in \mathbb{I}_r$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}'_s$ for some $1 \leq r, s \leq n'_{\eta^*}$. By Lemma 3.1.(1) and 3.1.(4) ϕ_{η^*} and ϕ'_{η^*} are function.

Jespers and the author [9] have defined a minimal non-nilpotent representation of S when S has a proper inverse Rees matrix semigroup ideal. In this paper, we extend this definition to the following case.

Suppose that S has a proper **CS**-diagonal ideal $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, m, n; P)$ such that

$$M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}}).$$

We define the representation (a semigroup homomorphism)

$$\Gamma : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\{1, \dots, n_{\eta^*}\} \cup \{\theta\}},$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the full transformation semigroup $\mathcal{T}_{\{1, \dots, n_{\eta^*}\} \cup \{\theta\}}$ on the set $\{1, \dots, n_{\eta^*}\} \cup \{\theta\}$. The definition is as follows, for $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$ and $s \in S$,

$$\Gamma(s)(i) = \begin{cases} i' & \text{if } s(g; \alpha, \beta) = (g'; \alpha', \beta) \text{ for some } g, g' \in G, \alpha \in \mathbb{I}_i, \alpha' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}, \\ & 1 \leq \beta \leq m \\ \theta & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Gamma(s)(\theta) = \theta.$$

The representation Γ is called the minimal non-nilpotent representation of S .

We prove that $\Gamma(s)$ is well-defined for every $s \in S$. Suppose that $s(g; \alpha, \beta) = (g'; \alpha', \beta)$ for some $g, g' \in G$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}_i, \alpha' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}, 1 \leq \beta \leq m$ and $s(h; \gamma, \lambda) = (h'; \gamma', \lambda)$ for some $h, h' \in G$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_i, \gamma' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}, 1 \leq \lambda \leq m$. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{I}'_{i'}$. As $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(g'; \alpha', \beta) \neq \theta$, $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s \neq \theta$ and thus $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s = (k; \alpha, \kappa')$ for some $k \in G$ and $\kappa' \in \mathbb{I}'_i$. Now as $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_i$, $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s(h; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$ and thus $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(h'; \gamma', \lambda) \neq \theta$. Therefore $\gamma' \in \mathbb{I}_{i'}$ and $i' = i''$.

We also claim that for $s \in S$ the map $\Gamma(s)$ restricted to the domain $S \setminus \Gamma(s)^{-1}(\theta)$ is injective. Indeed, suppose $\Gamma(s)(i_1) = \Gamma(s)(i_2) = i$ for $1 \leq$

$i_1, i_2, i \leq n_{\eta^*}$. Then $s(g; \alpha, \beta) = (g'; \alpha', \beta)$ for some $g, g' \in G$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}_{i_1}, \alpha' \in \mathbb{I}_i, 1 \leq \beta \leq m$ and $s(h; \gamma, \lambda) = (h'; \gamma', \lambda)$ for some $h, h' \in G$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_{i_2}, \gamma' \in \mathbb{I}_i, 1 \leq \lambda \leq m$. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{I}'_i$. As $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(g'; \alpha', \beta) \neq \theta$, $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s \neq \theta$ and thus $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s = (k; \alpha, \kappa')$ for some $k \in G$ and $\kappa' \in \mathbb{I}'_{i_1}$. Now as $(1; \alpha, \kappa)(h'; \gamma', \lambda) \neq \theta$, $(1; \alpha, \kappa)s(h; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$ and thus $(k; \alpha, \kappa')(h; \gamma, \lambda) \neq \theta$. Therefore $\gamma \in \mathbb{I}_{i_1}$ and thus $i_1 = i_2$.

For every $s \in S$, $\Gamma(s)$ can be written as a product of orbits of the form $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ or of the form $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, \theta)$, where $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n_{\eta^*}$. The notation for the latter orbit means that $\Gamma(s)(i_j) = i_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, $\Gamma(s)(i_k) = \theta$, $\Gamma(s)(\theta) = \theta$ and there does not exist $1 \leq r \leq n_{\eta^*}$ such that $\Gamma(s)(r) = i_1$. The convention, it is not written orbits of the form (i, θ) in the decomposition of $\Gamma(s)$ if $\Gamma(s)(i) = \theta$ and $\Gamma(s)(j) \neq i$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n_{\eta^*}$ (this is the reason for writing orbits of length one). If $\Gamma(s)(i) = \theta$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n_{\eta^*}$, then it simply is denoted $\Gamma(s)$ as θ .

If $\Gamma(s)(i_1) = i'_1$ and $\Gamma(s)(i_2) = i'_2$, then it will be shown by

$$[\dots, i_1, i'_1, \dots, i_2, i'_2, \dots] \subseteq \Gamma(s).$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let p be an integer less or equal to o such that the principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} is $\mathbf{CS}$ -diagonal, $S_p/S_{p+1} \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ and $(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$. If there exist integers k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 between 1 and n_{η^*} and $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$ which $k_1 \neq k_3$ and*

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \subseteq \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \subseteq \Gamma(v_2)$$

where the representation Γ is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of S/S_{p+1} , then one of the following properties hold:

- (1) The η^* -root $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ of the principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} is such that $p' \neq p$, and $\phi_{\eta^*}(k_1) = \phi_{\eta^*}(k_3)$, $\phi_{\eta^*}(k_2) = \phi_{\eta^*}(k_4)$.
- (2) The η^* -root of the principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} is θ .

Proof. First suppose that there exists an element $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ such that $a\eta^*\theta$. Then by Corollary 3.2.(2), θ is the η^* -root of S_p/S_{p+1} .

Now suppose that there exists an element $a \in S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ such that $a\eta^* \neq \theta$. Then by Lemma 3.1.(1), S_p/S_{p+1} has a η^* -root $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$. Let $x = (1; a_3, a_2)$ and $y = (1; a_1, a_4)$ such that $a_1 \in \mathbb{I}_{k_1}, a_3 \in \mathbb{I}_{k_3}, a_2 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_2}$ and $a_4 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_4}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x) &= \lambda_2(\Gamma(x), \Gamma(y), \Gamma(v_1), \Gamma(v_2)), \\ \Gamma(y) &= \rho_2(\Gamma(x), \Gamma(y), \Gamma(v_1), \Gamma(v_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and since $n_{\eta^*} \times n_{\eta^*}$ and $G \times G$ are finite, there exist positive integers t and r such that $t < r$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots), \rho_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)) \\ &= (\lambda_r(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots), \rho_r(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)). \end{aligned}$$

Put $w = \lambda_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)$, $z = \rho_t(x, y, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)$ and $m = r - t$. Then $w = \lambda_m(w, z, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots) \neq z = \rho_m(w, z, v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2, \dots)$ or $w = \lambda_m(w, z, v_2, v_1, v_2, v_1, \dots) \neq z = \rho_m(w, z, v_2, v_1, v_2, v_1, \dots)$ and thus $w\eta^*z$. Since $x = (1; a_3, a_2)$ and $y = (1; a_1, a_4)$, there exist elements $g, g' \in G$, $b_1 \in$

$\mathbb{I}_{k_1}, b_3 \in \mathbb{I}_{k_3}, b_2 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_2}$ and $b_4 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_4}$ such that $w = (g; b_3, b_2)$ and $z = (g'; b_1, b_4)$ or $w = (g; b_3, b_4)$ and $z = (g'; b_1, b_2)$.

We suppose that $w = (g; b_3, b_2)$ and $z = (g'; b_1, b_4)$. Let $b'_3 \in \mathbb{I}'_{k_3}$. Since the relation η^* is congruence,

$$(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g; b_3, b_2)\eta^*(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g'; b_1, b_4).$$

As $(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g'; b_1, b_4) \notin S_p/S_{p+1}$ and $(p_{b'_3, b_3}; b_1, b_2)\eta^*(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g'; b_1, b_4)$, $p \neq p'$.

Now by Lemma 3.1.(1), there exist elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in S_{p'} \setminus S_{p'+1}$ such that $(g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)\eta^*\alpha_1$ and $(g'; b_1, b_4)\eta^*\alpha_2$. Since

$$(p_{b'_3, b_3}; b_1, b_2) = (g^{-1}; b_1, b'_3)(g; b_3, b_2) \neq \theta$$

and $(p_{b'_3, b_3}; b_1, b_2)\eta^*\alpha_1\alpha_2, \phi_{\eta^*}(b_1) = \phi_{\eta^*}(b_3)$. Similarly $\phi_{\eta^*}(b_2) = \phi_{\eta^*}(b_4)$.

If $w = (g; b_3, b_4)$ and $z = (g'; b_1, b_2)$, in the similar way, the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P) \cup T$ be a finite semigroup that is the union of the ideal $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ and the subsemigroup T . If the ideal M is **CS**-diagonal, $M/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$ and there exist integers k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 between 1 and n_{η^*} and $v_1, v_2 \in T$ which $k_1 \neq k_3$ and*

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \subseteq \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \subseteq \Gamma(v_2),$$

then θ is the η^* -root of the subsemigroup M .

Proof. This follows at once from Theorem 3.3. $\square$


Note that if a principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} of a finite semigroup S is **CS**-diagonal, $(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$ and there exist integers k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 between 1 and n_{η^*} and $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$ such that

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \subseteq \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \subseteq \Gamma(v_2),$$

then in general the subset $S_p \setminus S_{p+1}$ is not in the class of θ of η^* .

For example, let S be a finite semigroup with principal series:

$$S = S_1 \supset S_2 \supset S_3 \supset S_4 \supset S_5 = \emptyset$$



whose principal factors are as follows:

$$S_4 = \{\theta\}, S_3/S_4 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}; I_2),$$

$$S_2/S_3 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{i, j, k, l\}, \{i, j, k, l\}; I_4)$$

and a null principal factor $S_1/S_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}$. As the maximal groups of $M_1 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}; I_2)$ and $M_2 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{i, j, k, l\}, \{i, j, k, l\}; I_4)$ are trivial, we write the elements of M_1 as (α, β) for $\alpha, \beta \in \{a, b\}$ and those of M_2 as $[\alpha, \beta]$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \{i, j, k, l\}$. Let the function $\phi: \{i, j, k, l\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$ be given by $\phi(i) = \phi(j) = a, \phi(k) = \phi(l) = b$. We impose the following relations on S :

- for every $\alpha, \beta \in \{a, b\}$ and $\gamma, \lambda \in \{i, j, k, l\}$,

$$(\alpha, \beta)[\gamma, \lambda] = (\alpha, \beta)(\phi(\gamma), \phi(\lambda)),$$

$$[\gamma, \lambda](\alpha, \beta) = (\phi(\gamma), \phi(\lambda))(\alpha, \beta),$$

- for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in \{i, j, k, l\}$ if $\beta \neq \gamma$,

$$[\alpha, \beta][\gamma, \lambda] = (\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta))(\phi(\gamma), \phi(\lambda)),$$

- for every $\beta \in \{a, b\}$,

$$v_1^2 = v_1 v_2 = v_2 v_1 = v_2^2 = \theta,$$

$$v_1(a, \beta) = v_2(a, \beta) = (b, \beta), v_1(b, \beta) = v_2(b, \beta) = \theta,$$

$$(\beta, a)v_1 = (\beta, a)v_2 = \theta, (\beta, b)v_1 = (\beta, b)v_2 = (\beta, a),$$

- for every $\alpha \in \{i, j, k, l\}$, $\beta \in \{k, l\}$ and $\gamma \in \{i, j\}$,

$$v_1[i, \alpha] = [l, \alpha], v_1[j, \alpha] = [k, \alpha], v_1[\beta, \alpha] = \theta,$$

$$v_2[i, \alpha] = [k, \alpha], v_2[j, \alpha] = [l, \alpha], v_2[\beta, \alpha] = \theta,$$

$$[\alpha, k]v_1 = [\alpha, j], [\alpha, l]v_1 = [\alpha, i], [\alpha, \gamma]v_1 = \theta,$$

$$[\alpha, k]v_2 = [\alpha, i], [\alpha, l]v_2 = [\alpha, j], [\alpha, \gamma]v_2 = \theta.^1$$

Since $\Gamma'(v_1) = (i, l, \theta)(j, k, \theta)$ and $\Gamma'(v_2) = (i, k, \theta)(j, l, \theta)$, $[i, k]\eta^*[j, l]$ where the representation Γ' is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of S/S_3 . Then $[i, i][i, k]\eta^*[i, i][j, l] = (a, b)$ and thus $[i, k]\eta^*(a, b)$. Similarly, it is easy to verify that the η^* -root of principal factor S_2/S_3 is the principal factor S_3/S_4 and $\phi_{\eta^*}(i) = \phi_{\eta^*}(j) = a, \phi_{\eta^*}(k) = \phi_{\eta^*}(l) = b$.

Then by Lemma 3.1 follows that $\eta^*(S_2 \setminus S_3) \subseteq \eta^*(S_3 \setminus S_4)$. Since $\Gamma(v_1) = \Gamma(v_2) = (a, b, \theta)$, it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}; I_2) \cup \{v_1, v_2\}$ is nilpotent, where the representation Γ is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of S . The η^* -classes of S are singletons except for the elements $[\gamma, \lambda], \gamma, \lambda \in \{i, j, k, l\}$ and θ which constitutes a class.

Note that the elements v_1 and v_2 satisfy the condition of Theorem 3.3 of the principal factor S_2/S_3 , but $S_2 \setminus S_3$ is not in the class of θ .

Open Problem. Does there exist a finite semigroup S such that it has a **CS**-diagonal principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} that

$$(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$$

with the following properties:

¹In order to check the associativity law for the constructed example, we used software developed in C++.

- (1) The principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} has a η^* -root principal factor $S_{p'}/S_{p'+1}$ such that $p \neq p'$.
- (2) There do not exist integers k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 between 1 and n_{η^*} with $k_1 \neq k_3$ and $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$ which

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_2),$$
 that the representation Γ is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of S/S_{p+1} .

We recall that a semigroup S is semisimple, if every principal factor of S is 0-simple or simple ([3]). If the answer to the above open problem is negative, then every η^* -root principal factor S_p/S_{p+1} of a finite semisimple semigroup S , is a **CS**-diagonal and such that $(S_p/S_{p+1})/\eta^* \cong \mathcal{M}^0(G_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}, n_{\eta^*}; I_{n_{\eta^*}})$ and there do not exist integers k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 between 1 and n_{η^*} with $k_1 \neq k_3$ and $v_1, v_2 \in S \setminus S_{p+1}$ which

$$[\dots, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_3, k_4, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_1), [\dots, k_1, k_4, \dots, k_3, k_2, \dots] \in \Gamma(v_2)$$

where the representation Γ is a minimal non-nilpotent representation of S/S_p .

If a finite semigroup S is semisimple, then the classes of S/η^* are $\{\theta\}$ (if S has θ) and the η^* -classes of η^* -root principal factors of S . Using Proposition 2.3 can be seen as a result consequence.

Recall that a semigroup is called a block group if each element has at most one inverse (for example [12]). For instance, an inverse semigroup is the same thing as a regular block group. The collection of all finite block groups whose subgroups are nilpotent is a pseudovariety denoted **BG**_{nil}. Also we recall the pseudovariety

$$\mathbf{BI} = \{S \in \mathbf{S} \mid S \text{ is block group and all subgroups of } S \text{ are trivial}\}$$

where **S** is all finite semigroups. The following theorem can be seen as a consequence of Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.5. *Let S be a finite semigroup. The quotient S/η^* is in the pseudovariety **BG**_{nil}.*

Note that every finite inverse semigroup whose maximal subgroups are nilpotent may not be nilpotent [8]. Recall the semigroup **F**₇ in [7] which is the disjoint union of the completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2)$ and the cyclic group $\{1, u\}$ of order 2:

$$\mathbf{F}_7 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2) \cup \{1, u\}.$$

The multiplication of **F**₇ is defined by extending that of the defining sub-semigroups via $\Gamma(1) = (1)(2)$ and $\Gamma(u) = (1, 2)$. The semigroup **F**₇ is inverse but it is not nilpotent.

From Theorem 3.5 it follows that the pseudovariety **MN** is a subpseudovariety of the pseudovariety **BG**_{nil}.

In fact the collection of finite semigroup with empty upper non-nilpotent graph is a pseudovariety. We denoted it by **EUNNG**.

Theorem 3.6. *The collection **EUNNG** is a pseudovariety.*

Proof. Suppose that the finite semigroup S has empty upper non-nilpotent graph. If S' is a subsemigroup of S and $\mathcal{N}_{S'}$ is not empty, then there exist elements $a, b \in S'$ such that $\langle a, b \rangle$ is not nilpotent. As $S' \subseteq S$, $a, b \in S$ and thus $\mathcal{N}_S$ is not empty, a contradiction.

Suppose that $f : S \rightarrow S'$ is a onto homomorphism and $\mathcal{N}_{S'}$ is not empty. Then there exist elements $a, b \in S'$ such that $\langle a, b \rangle$ is not nilpotent. Therefore by Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive integer m , distinct elements $x, y \in \langle a, b \rangle$ and elements $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in \langle a, b \rangle^1$ such that $x = \lambda_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$, $y = \rho_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$. Then for every integer $t > 0$, we also have

$$x = \lambda_{tm}(x, y, w_1'', \dots, w_{tm}''),$$

$$y = \rho_{tm}(x, y, w_1'', \dots, w_{tm}'')$$

that $w_1'', \dots, w_{tm}''$ is repeating $w_1, \dots, w_m$, t times. Since f is onto, there exists elements $a', b' \in S$ such that $f(a') = a, f(b') = b$ and thus $f(\langle a', b' \rangle) = \langle f(a'), f(b') \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$. Then there exists elements $x', y' \in \langle a', b' \rangle$ and elements $w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m \in \langle a', b' \rangle^1$ such that $f(x') = x, f(y') = y, f(w'_1) = w_1, f(w'_2) = w_2, \dots, f(w'_m) = w_m$. Then

$$f(x') = \lambda_{tm}(f(x'), f(y'), f(w'_1), f(w'_2), \dots, f(w'_m), \dots),$$

$$f(y') = \rho_{tm}(f(x'), f(y'), f(w'_1), f(w'_2), \dots, f(w'_m), \dots)$$

and thus

$$f(x') = f(\lambda_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots)),$$

$$f(y') = f(\rho_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots))$$

for every positive integer t . Since the upper non-nilpotent graph of S is empty, $\langle a', b' \rangle$ is nilpotent and thus there exist a positive integer t such that

$$\lambda_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots) = \rho_{tm}(x', y', w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_m, \dots).$$

Then $f(x') = f(y')$ and thus $x = y$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that the semigroup T has empty upper non-nilpotent graph. If $\mathcal{N}_{S \times T}$ is not empty, then there exist elements $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in S \times T$ such that $\langle (a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \rangle$ is not nilpotent. Therefore by Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive integer m , distinct elements $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \langle (a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \rangle$ and elements $(w_1, w'_1), \dots, (w_m, w'_m) \in \langle (a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \rangle^1$ such that

$$(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_m((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (w_1, w'_1), \dots, (w_m, w'_m)),$$

$$(y_1, y_2) = \rho_m((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (w_1, w'_1), \dots, (w_m, w'_m)).$$

Therefore

$$x_1 = \lambda_m(x_1, y_1, w_1, \dots, w_m), x_2 = \lambda_m(x_2, y_2, w'_1, \dots, w'_m),$$

$$y_1 = \rho_m(x_1, y_1, w_1, \dots, w_m) \text{ and } y_2 = \rho_m(x_2, y_2, w'_1, \dots, w'_m).$$

Now as $(x_1, x_2) \neq (y_1, y_2)$, $x_1 \neq y_1$ or $x_2 \neq y_2$, a contradiction with the upper non-nilpotent graphs of S and T are empty. The result follows. $\square$

It is easy to verify that if the upper non-nilpotent graph of the subgroup is empty, then the subgroup is nilpotent. Combining with [8, Lemma 2.5], it follows that **EUNNG** is a subpseudovariety of **BG_{nil}** and since **MN** is also a subpseudovariety of **EUNNG**, in particular **MN** is a subpseudovariety of **BG_{nil}**. Note that the pseudovarieties **MN** and **EUNNG** are distinct [8]. By [8, Theorem 2.6], **EUNNG** $\subseteq$ **PE**. Also by [7, Corollary 8], a finite semigroup S is positively Engel if and only if all its principal factors are either null semigroups or inverse semigroups over nilpotent groups, and S does not have an epimorphic image with **F₇** as a subsemigroup. Then **PE** $\subset$ **BG_{nil}** and thus we have

$$\mathbf{MN} \subset \mathbf{EUNNG} \subseteq \mathbf{PE} \subset \mathbf{BG}_{nil}.$$

It is easy to verify that **BI** $\subset$ **PE** $\subset$ **BG_{nil}** but **MN** $\not\subset$ **BI**. Note that **BI** $\not\subset$ **MN** [8].

Acknowledgments. This work was supported, in part, by CMUP (UID/MAT/00144/2013), which is funded by FCT (Portugal) with national (MCTES) and European structural funds through the programs FEDER, under the partnership agreement PT2020. It was also supported by the FCT post-doctoral scholarship SFRH/BPD/89812/ 2012. The author thanks Prof. Jorge Almeida and Prof. Bijan Davvaz for their scientific suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aghabozorgi, B. Davvaz, and M. Jafarpour. Nilpotent groups derived from hypergroups. *J. Algebra*, 382:177–184, 2013.
- [2] J. Almeida. *Finite semigroups and universal algebra*, volume 3 of *Series in Algebra*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1994. Translated from the 1992 Portuguese original and revised by the author.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups. Vol. I*. Mathematical Surveys, No. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [4] D. Freni. A new characterization of the derived hypergroup via strongly regular equivalences. *Comm. Algebra*, 30(8):3977–3989, 2002.
- [5] J. M. Howie. *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, volume 12 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. Oxford Science Publications.
- [6] E. Jespers and J. Okniński. Nilpotent semigroups and semigroup algebras. *J. Algebra*, 169(3):984–1011, 1994.
- [7] E. Jespers and D. Riley. Nilpotent linear semigroups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 16(1):141–160, 2006.
- [8] E. Jespers and M. H. Shahzamanian. The non-nilpotent graph of a semigroup. *Semigroup Forum*, 85(1):37–57, 2012.
- [9] E. Jespers and M. H. Shahzamanian. Finite semigroups that are minimal for not being Malcev nilpotent. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 13(8):1450063, 22, 2014.
- [10] A. I. Mal'cev. Nilpotent semigroups. *Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. Uč. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki*, 4:107–111, 1953.
- [11] B. H. Neumann and T. Taylor. Subsemigroups of nilpotent groups. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A*, 274:1–4, 1963.
- [12] J. Rhodes and B. Steinberg. *The q-theory of finite semigroups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2009.

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN, CENTRO DE MATEMATICA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, RUA DO
CAMPO ALEGRE 687, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: `m.h.shahzamanian@fc.up.pt`